

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/806,340	03/29/2001	Yoriaki Matsuzaki	018793-243	8537	
75	590 01/16/2003				
Robert G Mukai			EXAMINER		
Burns Doane Swecker & Mathis PO Box 1404			SHOSHO, CALLIE E		
Alexandria, VA	22313-1404		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1714		
			DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003		
			io.		
			סן		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			MKIO			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/806,340	MATSUZAKI ET A	AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Callie E. Shosho	1714				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on th cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timel  THS from the mailing date of this continued  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	20.0.4.4.2000					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
, <u> </u>	This action is non-final.					
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un Disposition of Claims</li> </ul>			e merits is			
·	ng in the application					
	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1,2,6,11,14 and 15 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	narawn nom consideration.					
<u> </u>	_					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6,11,14 and 15</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement					
Application Papers	ind/or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	<u></u>	ne Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection	•					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _			er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received.					
<u> </u>						
Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa     See the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional	application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	e provisional application has be	een received.	•			
Attachment(s)		JJ				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	8) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Informal Patent Application (PTO				
0.01.1.17.1.1.00			·			

Application Number: 09/806,340

Art Unit: 1714

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. All outstanding rejections and objections are overcome by applicants' amendment filed 10/28/02.

The following action is non-final, however, in light of the use of the English translation of JP 1113100, which was not previously available, against the present claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 11131000.
   JP 11131000, an English translation of which is included with this office action, discloses

ink jet ink comprising water, resin, and quinophthalone compound of the formula:

wherein  $Y^{21}$  is hydroxyl group,  $Y^{23}$ ,  $Y^{25}$ ,  $Y^{22}$ ,  $Y^{30}$ ,  $Y^{27}$ , and  $Y^{28}$  are each hydrogen,  $Y^{24}$  and  $Y^{26}$  are each hydrogen or  $C_1$ .  $C_{20}$  alkyl group, and  $Y^{29}$  is dialkylaminocarbonyl group such as dioctylaminocarbonyl (abstract, paragraphs 17, 18 (lines 3 and 11-12), 20, 24 (lines 1-5), and paragraph 37 (lines 10-11)).

In light of the above, it is clear that JP 11131000 anticipate the present claims.

Application Number: 09/806,340

Art Unit: 1714

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leoffler (U.S. 4,514,226).

Leoffler discloses pyridone azo compound of the formula:

where B is  $C_1$ - $C_3$  alkyl, T is cyano,  $R^3$  is  $C_1$ - $C^{18}$  alkyl group, R is  $NR^1R^2$  where  $R^1$  and  $R^2$  are each  $C_1$ - $C_{18}$  alkyl group, and X and Y are each hydrogen or halogen (col.1, lines 4-39).

It is noted that substituent B, which corresponds to presently claimed  $R_{12}$ , is  $C_3$  alkyl group, i.e. propyl group, while present claim 11 requires  $C_4$  alkyl, i.e. butyl group.

However, propyl and butyl are homologs-compounds differing regularly by the successive addition of the same chemical groups, in the present instance, alkyl groups, and the courts have held, as found in *In re Wilder*, 563 F.2d 457, 195 USPQ 426 (CCPA 1977), that compounds which are homologs are "generally of sufficiently close structural similarity that there is a presumed expectation that such compounds possess similar properties". In light of the

Art Unit: 1714

cited case law, it therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that colorant disclosed in the present claims is but an obvious variant of the colorant disclosed in Leoffler, and thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would have arrived at the claimed invention.

6. Claims 1, 6, and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsutsumi et al. (U.S. 6,031,019) or Komatsu et al. (U.S. 6,379,443) either of which in view of Leoffler (U.S. 4,514,226).

Tsutsumi et al. disclose a water-based ink jet ink wherein the ink comprises polymer particles colored with oil-soluble dye. There is also disclosed a method for making the colored particles wherein the polymer, dye, and solvent are added to water and then emulsified. The colored polymer particles are dispersed in the water medium (col.1, lines 14-24, col.3, line 65-col.4, line 17, col.4, lines 21 and 49-51, col.6, lines 54-56, col.8, lines 11-12, col.11, lines 56-60, and col.12, lines 61-67).

Alternatively, Komatsu et al. disclose water-based ink jet ink comprising colored polymer comprising polymer and oil-soluble dye in the form of an emulsion wherein the colored polymer is dispersed in the water medium (col.7, lines 43-61, col.8, lines 32-35, and example A5).

The difference between Tsutsumi et al. or Komatsu et al. and the present claimed invention is the requirement in the claims of specific type of dye.

Leoffler discloses pyridone azo compound of the formula:

Application Number: 09/806,340

Art Unit: 1714

$$\begin{array}{c} COR \\ K=N \\ Y \\ HO \\ R^{3} \end{array}$$

where B is  $C_1$ - $C_3$  alkyl, T is cyano,  $R^3$  is  $C_1$ - $C^{18}$  alkyl group, R is  $NR^1R^2$  where  $R^1$  and  $R^2$  are each  $C_1$ - $C_{18}$  alkyl group, and X and Y are each hydrogen or halogen (col.1, lines 4-39) wherein such dye possesses good lightfastness (col.5, lines 50-52).

It is noted that substituent B, which corresponds to presently claimed  $R_{12}$ , is  $C_3$  alkyl group, i.e. propyl group, while present claims require  $C_4$  alkyl, i.e. butyl group.

However, propyl and butyl are homologs-compounds differing regularly by the successive addition of the same chemical groups, in the present instance, alkyl groups, and the courts have held, as found in *In re Wilder*, 563 F.2d 457, 195 USPQ 426 (CCPA 1977), that compounds which are homologs are "generally of sufficiently close structural similarity that there is a presumed expectation that such compounds possess similar properties". In light of the cited case law, it therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that colorant disclosed in the present claims is but an obvious variant of the colorant disclosed in Leoffler.

In light of the motivation for using specific type of dye disclosed by Leoffler as described above, it therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use such dye in the ink jet ink of either Tsutsumi et al. or Komatsu et al. in order to produce a ink with good lightfastness, and thereby arrive at the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1714

7. Claims 1-2 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsutsumi et al. (U.S. 6,031,019) or Komatsu et al. (U.S. 6,379,443) either of which in view of Ohyama et al. (U.S. 5,359,075).

Tsutsumi et al. disclose a water-based ink jet ink wherein the ink comprises polymer particles colored with oil-soluble dye. There is also disclosed a method for making the colored particles wherein the polymer, dye, and solvent are added to water and then emulsified. The colored polymer are dispersed in the water medium (col.1, lines 14-24, col.3, line 65-col.4, line 17, col.4, lines 21 and 49-51, col.6, lines 54-56, col.8, lines 11-12, col.11, lines 56-60, and col.12, lines 61-67).

Alternatively, Komatsu et al. disclose water-based ink jet ink comprising colored polymer comprising polymer and oil-soluble dye in the form of an emulsion wherein the colored polymer is dispersed in the water medium (col.7, lines 43-61, col.8, lines 32-35, and example A5).

The difference between Tsutsumi et al. or Komatsu et al. and the present claimed invention is the requirement in the claims of specific type of dye.

Ohyama et al. disclose quinophthalone compound of the formula:

Application Number: 09/806,340 Page 7

Art Unit: 1714

where R<sub>1</sub> is hydrogen or C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>8</sub> alkyl group, R<sub>2</sub> is hydrogen, R<sub>3</sub> dialkylaminocarbonyl such as

dipentylaminocarbonyl, and R<sub>4</sub> is hydrogen (col.2, lines 1-27 and col.2, line 54-col.3, line 36).

The motivation for using such dye is that it is stable to heat, light, humidity, and

chemicals and has an excellent shelf-stability (col.5, line 66-col.6, line 3).

In light of the motivation for using specific type of dye disclosed by Ohyama et al. as

described above, it therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use

such dye in the ink jet ink of either Tsutsumi et al. or Komatsu et al. in order to produce a storage

stable ink with good resistance to heat, light, humidity, and chemicals, and thereby arrive at the

claimed invention.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicants arguments regarding JP 06009891, JP 08034933, and JP 06059510 have been

fully considered but they are most in view of the discontinuation of these references against the

present claims.

9. Applicants' arguments filed 10/28/02 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Specifically, applicants argue:

(a) Leoffler fails to disclose presently claimed compound (2), pyridone azo compound.

(b) JP 11131000 does not disclose claimed compound (1), quinophthalone compound.

(c) Ohyama et al. does not disclose claimed compound (1), quinophthalone compound.

With respect to argument (a), applicants argue that Leoffler fails to disclose pyridone azo compound wherein presently claimed substituent  $R_{12}$  represents alkyl groups having 4 or more carbon atoms.

It is agreed that Leoffler discloses pyridone azo compound wherein substituent B, which corresponds to presently claimed  $R_{12}$ , is  $C_3$  alkyl group, i.e. propyl group, while present claims require  $C_4$  alkyl, i.e. butyl group.

However, propyl and butyl are homologs-compounds differing regularly by the successive addition of the same chemical groups, in the present instance, alkyl groups, and the courts have held, as found in *In re Wilder*, 563 F.2d 457, 195 USPQ 426 (CCPA 1977), that compounds which are homologs are "generally of sufficient close structural similarity that there is a presumed expectation that such compounds possess similar properties". in light of the cited case law, it therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that colorant disclosed in the present claims is but an obvious variant of the colorant disclosed in Leoffler, and thereby one of ordinary skill in the art would have arrived at the claimed invention.

With respect to argument (b), applicants argue that JP 11131000 does not disclose quinophthalone wherein substituent  $R_3$  is  $-CONR_4R_5$  wherein each of  $R_4$  and  $R_5$  is an alkyl group having 6 or more carbon atoms as presently claimed.

However, paragraph 37, lines 10-11 of the English translation of JP 1113100 disclose that Y<sup>29</sup>, which corresponds to presently claimed R<sub>3</sub>, is in fact –CONR<sub>4</sub>R<sub>5</sub> or dialkylaminocarbonyl such as dioctylaminocarbonyl as presently claimed.

Art Unit: 1714

Further, applicants argue that JP 11131000 does not disclose presently claimed compound given that the nitrogen atom in presently claimed formula (1) is bonded to hydrogen atom and the bicyclic structures are bonded by a double bond while in the compound of JP 1113100, the nitrogen atom is not bonded to a hydrogen atom, and the bicyclic structures are bonded by a single bond.

Page 9

However, it is examiner's position that the quinophthalone compound disclosed by JP 1113100 and that presently claimed represent tautomeric forms of the same compound which implies their equivalence. Evidence to support this position is found in col.2, lines 29-45 of Ohyama et al. which disclose that quinophthalone does "tautomer exist" and that the two tautomeric forms are equivalent.

With respect to argument (c), applicants argue that Ohyama et al. do not disclose quinophthalone where substituent  $R_3$  is  $-CONR_4R_5$  and each of  $R_4$  and  $R_5$  is an alkyl group having 6 or more carbon atoms as presently claimed.

However, col.3, lines 26-36 of Ohyama et al. disclose that R<sub>3</sub> is in fact dialkylaminocarbonyl group including dipentylaminocarbonyl group identical to that presently claimed.

With respect to the combination of either Tsutsumi et al. or Komatsu et al. with Ohyama et al., applicants argue that the combination fails to recognize the advantages, as set forth in the present specification, of using presently claimed compound (1) in inks.

Application Number: 09/806,340 Page 10

Art Unit: 1714

However, given that Ohyama et al. disclose compound identical to that presently claimed, it is clear that the inks of Tsutsumi et al. and Komatsu et al. would intrinsically possess the same advantages as the inks set forth in the present invention.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Callie E. Shosho whose telephone number is 703-305-0208. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (6:30-4:00) Alternate Fridays Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 703-306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Callie E. Shosho

Examiner

Art Unit 1714

CS

January 11, 2003